#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INVESTIGATION OF THE KENTUCKY )
INTRASTATE RATES OF SOUTH CENTRAL ) CASE NO. 10105
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. )

### ORDER

On December 16, 1987, the Commission entered an Order in the above-styled case. The purpose of the said Order was to initiate an investigation of the rates and charges of South Central Bell Telephone Company, Inc. ("SCB"). On January 20, 1988, SCB filed some of the information required in the Order including an incentive plan.

The Commission's initial Order stated that shortly after SCB filed its information, the Commission would issue a procedural schedule. This Order establishes the procedural schedule.

We have reviewed the filing of SCB and find that additional information will be required for us to fully analyze SCB's rates and charges and its proposed incentive plan. Therefore, the first step of the attached Appendix, setting out the procedural schedule, is issuance of information requests followed by SCB's responses. Soon after the first round of requests and responses, we believe a conference with all parties present is necessary in order to discuss any changes in the attached procedure which the parties feel would be beneficial.

The procedural schedule establishes the maximum time frames which the Commission considers acceptable. The Commission fully expects all parties to cooperate and stresses the need for such cooperation to achieve fair and reasonable overall results. In an effort to achieve this goal, the Commission has directed its staff to fully participate in all conferences and negotiations.

Should the results of the schedule of conferences and negotiations not produce a complete settlement of issues or should the Commission find the terms of the agreement unacceptable, the Commission has scheduled a hearing immediately following the negotiations. The necessity for this hearing will depend entirely upon the negotiations but could include testimony on all issues.

The Commission is, therefore, of the opinion and finds that the procedural schedule set out in Appendix A to this Order should be followed in the above styled case.

Be it so ORDERED.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 22nd day of February, 1988.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman D. Herran

( She M

Same

ATTEST:

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 10105 DATED 2/22/88

## Schedule of Events

Informational Request to Company dueMarch 11, 198	38
Company Responses to Information Request and Company Testimony	88
Informal Conference to Discuss Company's Response to Commission Order Dated December 16, 1987 and Information RequestsApril 14, 198	88
Second Informational Request DueApril 25, 198	88
Company Responses to Information Request DueMay 16, 198	88
Intervenor's Testimony and Counter- Proposals DueJune 6, 198	88
Informal Conference (Negotiations)June 21, 198	88
HearingsJuly 12, 19	88
Issuance of Final OrderSeptember 6. 19	88